

## Effect of duodenal infusions of leucine on milk yield and plasma amino acids in dairy cows

M. RICHTER<sup>1</sup>, J. SVOBODOVÁ<sup>1</sup>, L. KŘÍŽOVÁ<sup>1</sup>, J. TŘINÁCTÝ<sup>2</sup>, P. HOMOLKA<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Agriresearch Rapotin, Ltd., Department of Animal Nutrition and Quality of Livestock Products, Pohorelice, Czech Republic

<sup>2</sup>Research Institute for Cattle Breeding, Ltd., Rapotín, Department of Animal Nutrition and Quality of Livestock Products, Pohorelice, Czech Republic

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Animal Science, Prague-Uhřetěves, Czech Republic

**ABSTRACT:** Four high-yielding lactating Holstein cows fitted with duodenal cannulas were used in the experiment. Cows were divided into 2 groups – control (Control) with leucine deficiency and experimental (Leucine) with a leucine supplement. The experiment was divided into 4 periods of 7 days, each consisting of a 3-day preliminary period followed by a 4-day experimental period. In the first period, 2 cows were assigned to Control and the remaining 2 to Leucine. In the subsequent period the cows were switched to the other treatment. Cows were fed individually twice daily the basal diet based on maize silage, lucerne hay and supplemental mixture. Infusions of amino acids in Leucine consisted of methionine (12.6 g/day), lysine (20.7 g/day), histidine (10.7 g/day) and leucine (19.3 g/day). The composition of amino acid infusate in Control was the same except for leucine that was replaced with monosodium L-glutamate. The intake of dry matter was not affected by the treatment ( $P > 0.05$ ). No effect of leucine infusion on milk yield and composition was observed ( $P > 0.05$ ), nevertheless the concentration of protein and casein in milk tended to be higher in Leucine (38.3 and 31.3 g/kg) than in Control (37.4 and 30.4 g/kg, respectively,  $P < 0.1$ ). The yield of milk components was not affected by the treatment ( $P > 0.05$ ). Duodenal infusion of leucine resulted in a decreased plasma level of isoleucine in Leucine compared to Control ( $P < 0.01$ ). Concentrations of leucine, cysteine and citrulline tended to be higher and the concentration of tyrosine tended to be lower in Leucine in comparison with Control ( $P < 0.10$ ).

**Keywords:** leucine; duodenal infusion; milk; plasma amino acids; dairy cow

The major part of the amino acid (AA) requirement of lactating dairy cows is met by postruminal digestion of microbial protein. Nevertheless, diets for high-yielding cows need not meet the requirement for all AA. In general, the most limiting AA in maize silage based diets for the synthesis of milk and milk protein have been reported to be methionine (Met) and lysine (Lys) (e.g. Schwab et al.,

1992a). Brandt et al. (1987) suggested that under different feeding conditions such as forage-based grass/grass silage diets instead of concentrate-based diets leucine might be the first or the second limiting AA in dairy cows. According to Kröber et al. (2001) even mixed forage rations containing maize silage fed along with concentrate might be deficient in leucine depending on the proportion of

Supported by the Ministry of Agriculture of the Czech Republic (Project No. 1B44037) and the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic (Project No. MSM 2678846201).

concentrate and the type of concentrate ingredients used. Thus leucine (Leu), which is suggested to be the most-limiting from branched chain AA (e.g. Varvikko et al., 1999), has recently received some attention (Miettinen and Huhtanen, 1997; Varvikko et al., 1999; Iburg and Lebzien, 2000; Rulquin and Pisulewski, 2006) as a potentially limiting AA for milk protein synthesis.

The objective of the present study was to determine the role of Leu as a potentially third limiting AA supplemented in the form of duodenal infusions in milk production of cows fed maize silage-concentrate based diet.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Animals and procedure

Four high-yielding lactating Holstein cows (lactation 2–3, week 22 of lactation) with similar milk production (18.6 kg, SEM = 1.5) fitted with duodenal closed T-shaped cannulas (Bar Diamond, Inc., USA) were used in the experiment. The cows were housed in individual tie stalls bedded with sawdust and they were divided into 2 groups of 2 animals. Factor with 2 levels was applied – Leu deficiency (Control) and Leu supplement (Leucine). The experiment was divided into 4 periods. Each period (7 days) consisted of a 3-day preliminary period followed by a 4-day experimental period. In the first period, the first group was assigned to Control and the second to Leucine. In each subsequent period the groups were switched to the other treatment according to the following scheme: the first group – Control, Leucine, Control, Leucine; the second group – Leucine, Control, Leucine, Control.

Cows were fed individually twice daily (7.00 and 17.00 h) *ad libitum* the basal diet based on maize silage, lucerne hay and supplemental mixture (Table 1). The diet was formulated to provide 100% of NEL (net energy of lactation) and 95% of PDI (protein digestible in the intestine) requirements according to the recommendations of Sommer et al. (1994). Based on Rulquin et al. (2001a) the formulated diets were considered to be deficient in Met (approx 22%), Lys (approx 7.4%), histidine (His) (approx 18.0%) and Leu (approx 3.4%). This deficit of AA was covered by duodenal infusions of an AA mixture which was composed in such a way that the respective AA requirements would be met (Rulquin et al., 2001b). Infusions of AA in Leucine consisted of the appro-

Table 1. Composition of diets

| Ingredient                               | Content                 |
|--|-------------------------|
| Maize silage (g/kg)                      | 587                     |
| Lucerne hay (g/kg)                       | 94                      |
| Supplemental mixture <sup>1</sup> (g/kg) | 319                     |
| PDIN (g/kg) <sup>2</sup>                 | 82.5                    |
| PDIE (g/kg) <sup>2</sup>                 | 88.9                    |
| NEL (MJ/kg) <sup>3</sup>                 | 6.83                    |
| LysDI (% PDIE) <sup>4</sup>              | 6.76 (7.3) <sup>5</sup> |
| MetDI (% PDIE) <sup>4</sup>              | 1.95 (2.5) <sup>5</sup> |
| LeuDI (% PDIE) <sup>4</sup>              | 8.51 (8.8) <sup>5</sup> |
| HisDI (% PDIE) <sup>4</sup>              | 2.05 (2.5) <sup>5</sup> |

<sup>1</sup>supplemental mixture contains (g/kg): barley 449; wheat 451; flax 45; sunflower oil meal 137; sodium chloride (NaCl) 7; dicalcium phosphate (CaHPO<sub>4</sub>) 10; limestone (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) 19; sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO<sub>3</sub>) 1; MgP 2; mineral and vitamin mixture 1

<sup>2</sup>digestible protein in the intestine when rumen fermentable N supply or energy supply are limiting, respectively

<sup>3</sup>net energy of lactation

<sup>4</sup>digestible amino acids in the intestine

<sup>5</sup>values in the parenthesis: requirements for the given amino acids according to Rulquin et al. (2001b)

priate amounts of crystalline Met (12.6 g/day), Lys (20.7 g/day), His (10.7 g/day) and Leu (19.3 g/day, Ajinomoto Co., Inc. Japan). The composition of the AA infusate in Control was the same except for Leu, which was replaced with monosodium L-glutamate to ensure the isonitrogenicity. AA were dissolved in 4–5 litres of fresh tap water for each cow daily and infused continuously to the duodenum over a 24-h period using a four-channel infusion pump (Dávkovací čerpadla Ing. Kouřil, Czech Republic)

### Analytical procedures

During the experiment feed intake and refusals were monitored daily, an aliquot of them was taken for subsequent analyses. In both feed and feed refusals the dry matter (DM) was determined by drying at 103°C for 4 h, crude protein, crude fibre and fat were estimated according to AOAC (1984) and neutral detergent fibre by using  $\alpha$ -amylase was estimated according to Van Soest et al. (1991).

Cows were milked twice daily at 7:15 and 17:15 h. During the experimental period milk yield was monitored and milk samples were taken at each milking, preserved with 2-bromo-2-nitropropane-1,3-diol (Bronopol) and cooled to 6°C. Milk composition was analysed by an infrared analyser (Bentley Instruments 2000, Bentley Instruments Inc., USA). The urea content was determined using an UREAKVANT apparatus (AGROSLUŽBY Olomouc, s.r.o., Czech Republic). Casein content was measured on Kjeltac auto 1030 Analyser (Tecator AB, Höganäs, Sweden) after precipitation with 10% acetic acid. Milk yield was corrected for energy content according to Sjaunja et al. (1991).

On the last day of each experimental period, blood samples were taken into heparinised tubes from the jugular vein (at 7:45 h) for determination of the AA profile and plasma metabolites. The samples were immediately centrifuged for 15 min at 1 500 g. Plasma parameters were analysed using kits for standard en-

zymatic methods (Biovendor – Laboratorní medicína, a.s. Modřice, Czech Republic) adapted to the COBAS MIRA autoanalyser (Roche diagnostics, Basle, Switzerland). For the determination of the AA profile the blood plasma was deproteinised with sulphosalicylic acid and centrifuged for 10 min at 3 000 g. The supernatant was stored at –80°C until the AA profile was determined on the AAA 400 Automatic Aminoanalyser (Ingos, Prague, Czech Republic).

### Statistical analysis

Data obtained in the experiment were analysed using the GLM procedure of the Statgraphics 7.0 package (Manugistics Inc. and Statistical Graphics Corporation, Rockville, Maryland, USA) according to the following model:

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + T_i + C_j + P_k + \varepsilon_{ijk}$$

Table 2. Effect of duodenally infused leucine on nutrient intake in lactating dairy cows

| Nutrient                    | Control <sup>1</sup> |      | Leucine <sup>2</sup> |      | <i>P</i> <sup>7</sup> |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|------|----------------------|------|-----------------------|
|                             | mean                 | SEM  | mean                 | SEM  |                       |
| Dry matter (kg/day)         | 15.90                | 1.00 | 16.00                | 1.18 | NS                    |
| Crude protein (kg/day)      | 1.98                 | 0.15 | 2.05                 | 0.18 | NS                    |
| Fat (kg/day)                | 0.36                 | 0.02 | 0.36                 | 0.03 | NS                    |
| Crude fibre (kg/day)        | 2.46                 | 0.11 | 2.44                 | 0.13 | NS                    |
| NDF (kg/day) <sup>3</sup>   | 5.37                 | 0.30 | 5.34                 | 0.33 | NS                    |
| PDIN (kg/day) <sup>4</sup>  | 1.29                 | 0.10 | 1.34                 | 0.12 | NS                    |
| PDIE (kg/day) <sup>4</sup>  | 1.40                 | 0.10 | 1.43                 | 0.11 | NS                    |
| NEL (MJ/day) <sup>5</sup>   | 108.30               | 7.14 | 109.80               | 8.39 | NS                    |
| LysDI (% PDIE) <sup>6</sup> | 7.85                 | 0.03 | 7.87                 | 0.03 | NS                    |
| MetDI (% PDIE) <sup>6</sup> | 2.71                 | 0.02 | 2.73                 | 0.03 | NS                    |
| LeuDI (% PDIE) <sup>6</sup> | 8.14                 | 0.02 | 9.40                 | 0.03 | ***                   |
| HisDI (% PDIE) <sup>6</sup> | 2.68                 | 0.02 | 2.69                 | 0.03 | NS                    |

<sup>1</sup>control group supplemented with duodenally infused methionine (12.6 g/day), lysine (20.7 g/day) and histidine (10.7 g/day)

<sup>2</sup>experimental group supplemented with duodenally infused methionine (12.6 g/day), lysine (20.7 g/day), histidine (10.7 g/day) and leucine (19.3 g/day)

<sup>3</sup>neutral detergent fibre with  $\alpha$ -amylase

<sup>4</sup>digestible protein in the intestine when rumen fermentable N supply or energy supply are limiting, respectively

<sup>5</sup>net energy of lactation

<sup>6</sup>digestible amino acids in the intestine

<sup>7</sup>NS – not significant; †*P* < 0.10 (tendency); \**P* < 0.05; \*\**P* < 0.01; \*\*\**P* < 0.001

where:

$\mu$  = general mean

$T_i$  = treatment effect ( $i = 2$ )

$C_j$  = cow effect ( $j = 4$ )

$P_k$  = period effect ( $k = 4$ )

$\varepsilon_{ijk}$  = error term

For all statistical evaluations period means were used.

## RESULTS

Due to health problems (leg injury) cow No. 3 had to be removed from the fourth period from the evaluation.

### Intake of nutrients and milk yield and composition

The intake of DM and other nutrients is presented in Table 2. No significant differences between

the treatments were determined ( $P > 0.05$ ). The content of LeuDI (% PDIE) was significantly higher in Leucine compared to Control ( $P < 0.001$ ).

Milk yield and content and yield of milk components are given in Table 3. No significant effect of Leu infusion on milk yield and composition was observed ( $P > 0.05$ ), but the concentration of protein and casein in milk tended to be higher in Leucine than in Control ( $P < 0.10$ ). The yield of milk components was not affected by the treatment ( $P > 0.05$ ).

### Blood parameters and plasma AA

The blood parameters are documented in Table 4. Duodenal infusion of Leu did not have any effect on plasma metabolites ( $P > 0.05$ ).

Changes in plasma AA concentrations are shown in Table 5. Duodenal infusion of Leu resulted in an increased level of isoleucine (Ile) in the treatment Leucine compared to Control ( $P < 0.01$ ).

Table 3. Effect of duodenally infused leucine on yield, daily yield of milk components and milk composition

| Component                       | Control <sup>1</sup> |      | Leucine <sup>2</sup> |      | $P^4$ |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|------|----------------------|------|-------|
|                                 | mean                 | SEM  | mean                 | SEM  |       |
| Milk yield (kg/day)             | 18.3                 | 2.2  | 18.8                 | 2.2  | NS    |
| ECM (kg/day) <sup>3</sup>       | 22.2                 | 2.7  | 22.7                 | 2.7  | NS    |
| <b>Composition of milk</b>      |                      |      |                      |      |       |
| Fat (kg/day)                    | 56.0                 | 1.6  | 54.9                 | 1.2  | NS    |
| Protein (kg/day)                | 37.4                 | 0.8  | 38.3                 | 0.8  | †     |
| Casein (kg/day)                 | 30.4                 | 0.7  | 31.3                 | 0.5  | †     |
| Lactose (kg/day)                | 47.0                 | 0.6  | 46.4                 | 0.4  | NS    |
| Urea (mg/100 ml)                | 187.3                | 21.3 | 197.7                | 39.4 | NS    |
| <b>Yield of milk components</b> |                      |      |                      |      |       |
| Fat (g/day)                     | 1 025                | 125  | 1 035                | 127  | NS    |
| Protein (g/day)                 | 679                  | 73   | 715                  | 77   | NS    |
| Casein (g/day)                  | 552                  | 61   | 585                  | 65   | NS    |
| Lactose (g/day)                 | 864                  | 111  | 878                  | 108  | NS    |

<sup>1</sup>control group supplemented with duodenally infused methionine (12.6 g/day), lysine (20.7 g/day) and histidine (10.7 g/day)

<sup>2</sup>experimental group supplemented with duodenally infused methionine (12.6 g/day), lysine (20.7 g/day), histidine (10.7 g/day) and leucine (19.3 g/day)

<sup>3</sup>energy corrected milk calculated according to Sjaunja et al. (1991)

<sup>4</sup>NS – not significant; † $P < 0.10$  (tendency); \* $P < 0.05$ ; \*\* $P < 0.01$ ; \*\*\* $P < 0.001$

Table 4. Effect of duodenally infused leucine on blood parameters of lactating dairy cows

| Component                  | Control <sup>1</sup> |       | Leucine <sup>2</sup> |       | <i>P</i> <sup>7</sup> |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|-----------------------|
|                            | mean                 | SEM   | mean                 | SEM   |                       |
| Total protein (g/l)        | 76.81                | 0.286 | 76.84                | 0.256 | NS                    |
| Albumin (g/l)              | 33.26                | 0.273 | 33.10                | 0.244 | NS                    |
| Urea (mmol/l)              | 4.66                 | 0.057 | 4.56                 | 0.051 | NS                    |
| Glucose (mmol/l)           | 3.26                 | 0.054 | 3.26                 | 0.048 | NS                    |
| AST (U/l) <sup>3</sup>     | 93.56                | 1.229 | 94.50                | 1.099 | NS                    |
| GMT (U/l) <sup>4</sup>     | 26.25                | 0.728 | 25.95                | 0.651 | NS                    |
| NEFA (mmol/l) <sup>5</sup> | 0.68                 | 0.058 | 0.55                 | 0.052 | NS                    |
| BHB (mmol/l) <sup>6</sup>  | 0.74                 | 0.037 | 0.696                | 0.033 | NS                    |

<sup>1</sup>control group supplemented with duodenally infused methionine (12.6 g/day), lysine (20.7 g/day) and histidine (10.7 g/day)

<sup>2</sup>experimental group supplemented with duodenally infused methionine (12.6 g/day), lysine (20.7 g/day), histidine (10.7 g/day) and leucine (19.3 g/day)

<sup>3</sup>aspartate aminotransferase

<sup>4</sup>γ-glutamyltransferase

<sup>5</sup>nonesterified fatty acids

<sup>6</sup>β-hydroxybutyrate

<sup>7</sup>NS – not significant; +*P* < 0.10 (tendency); \**P* < 0.05; \*\**P* < 0.01; \*\*\**P* < 0.001

Furthermore, concentrations of Leu, cysteine (Cys) and citruline (Cit) tended to be higher and the concentration of tyrosine (Tyr) tended to be lower in Leucine in comparison with Control (*P* < 0.10).

## DISCUSSION

### Intake of nutrients and milk yield and composition

In the present experiment the average DM intake of cows in both experimental groups was almost identical (*P* > 0.05). Similar responses to Leu supplement have been reported in other studies in which Leu was supplemented either in the ruminally protected form (Křížová et al., 2008) or in the form of abomasal (Huhtanen et al., 2002; Korhonen et al., 2002) or duodenal infusions (Rulquin and Pisulewski, 2006).

In our study no effect of Leu infusion on milk yield and content and yield of milk components was observed (*P* > 0.05) except for the protein and casein concentration that tended to be higher in

Leucine than in Control (*P* < 0.10). Our findings are in agreement with minor effects of additional Leu on milk yield and composition reported in other studies (e.g. Kröber et al., 2001; Huhtanen et al., 2002; Korhonen et al., 2002; Křížová et al., 2008). On the other hand, in a dose response study (duodenal infusions of 0, 40, 80 and 120 g/day Leu) Rulquin and Pisulewski (2006) found that milk yield was not affected by the treatments, whereas the content and yield of protein and casein varied quadratically (*P* < 0.05), with a maximum reached by 40 g/day Leu. In contrast, contents and yields of fat and lactose decreased linearly (*P* < 0.05) over the entire range of treatments.

### Blood parameters and plasma AA

Blood parameters determined in this experiment were not affected by the treatment (*P* > 0.05). This is in accordance with Křížová et al. (2008). Similarly, Huhtanen et al. (2002) and Rulquin and Pisulewski (2006) reported that the infusion of Leu had no effect on plasma glucose or nonesterified fatty acids.

Table 5. Effect of duodenally infused leucine on plasma concentrations of free amino acids (in mg/l of plasma)

| Amino acids (mg/l) | Control <sup>1</sup> |       | Leucine <sup>2</sup> |       | <i>P</i> <sup>7</sup> |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|-----------------------|
|                    | mean                 | SEM   | mean                 | SEM   |                       |
| Arginine           | 11.0                 | 0.50  | 10.9                 | 0.82  | NS                    |
| Histidine          | 9.1                  | 0.54  | 9.7                  | 0.63  | NS                    |
| Ileucine           | 11.0                 | 1.39  | 8.3                  | 1.04  | **                    |
| Leucine            | 5.9                  | 0.86  | 7.6                  | 1.26  | †                     |
| Lysine             | 10.0                 | 0.75  | 10.0                 | 0.75  | NS                    |
| Methionine         | 9.0                  | 1.37  | 8.8                  | 1.18  | NS                    |
| Phealanine         | 7.9                  | 2.78  | 6.9                  | 2.78  | NS                    |
| Threonine          | 8.9                  | 1.01  | 8.5                  | 0.92  | NS                    |
| Valine             | 16.9                 | 1.70  | 13.8                 | 1.66  | NS                    |
| Alanine            | 16.2                 | 1.45  | 16.0                 | 1.44  | NS                    |
| Asparagine         | 6.1                  | 0.15  | 6.5                  | 0.59  | NS                    |
| Aspartic acid      | 1.7                  | 0.16  | 1.8                  | 0.33  | NS                    |
| Citrulline         | 8.7                  | 0.67  | 9.7                  | 0.90  | †                     |
| Cysteine           | 10.9                 | 1.62  | 12.8                 | 1.05  | †                     |
| Glutamine          | 47.2                 | 5.97  | 51.2                 | 6.28  | NS                    |
| Glutamic acid      | 8.7                  | 1.11  | 10.0                 | 1.86  | NS                    |
| Glycine            | 28.2                 | 4.42  | 30.3                 | 3.97  | NS                    |
| Ornithine          | 6.4                  | 0.44  | 6.2                  | 0.44  | NS                    |
| Proline            | 6.6                  | 0.43  | 7.2                  | 0.46  | NS                    |
| Serine             | 10.6                 | 1.28  | 11.0                 | 1.33  | NS                    |
| Tyrosine           | 5.2                  | 0.47  | 4.1                  | 0.49  | †                     |
| EAA <sup>3</sup>   | 89.7                 | 3.71  | 84.5                 | 4.15  | NS                    |
| NEAA <sup>4</sup>  | 156.6                | 15.87 | 166.9                | 12.02 | NS                    |
| BCAA <sup>5</sup>  | 33.8                 | 3.47  | 29.7                 | 3.33  | NS                    |
| TAA <sup>6</sup>   | 246.2                | 19.20 | 251.4                | 12.46 | NS                    |

<sup>1</sup>control group supplemented with duodenally infused methionine (12.6 g/day), lysine (20.7 g/day) and histidine (10.7 g/day)

<sup>2</sup>experimental group supplemented with duodenally infused methionine (12.6 g/day), lysine (20.7 g/day), histidine (10.7 g/day) and leucine (19.3 g/day)

<sup>3</sup>essential amino acids

<sup>4</sup>non-essential amino acids

<sup>5</sup>branched chain amino acids

<sup>6</sup>total amino acids

<sup>7</sup>NS – not significant; †*P* < 0.10 (tendency); \**P* < 0.05; \*\**P* < 0.01; \*\*\**P* < 0.001

In the present study, we found that the plasma concentration of Leu tended to be higher (*P* < 0.10) after Leu infusion. This fact conforms with the findings of other studies which also described an el-

evated plasma concentration of Leu when it was supplied in a rumen protected form (e.g. Křížová et al., 2008) or directly infused into the abomasum (e.g. Huhtanen et al., 2002) or duodenum (Rulquin

and Pisulewski, 2006). Similarly, Kröber et al. (2001) confirmed that the variation in supply was clearly reflected in different plasma levels of the respective AA after feeding the experimental diets for 18 days on average. The response in terms of blood plasma concentrations of other AA to Leu supplementation is scarce and inconsistent. Our study showed that duodenal infusion of Leu significantly decreased the concentration of isoleucine (Ile) ( $P < 0.01$ ). Further, there was a tendency to a lower concentration of Tyr and to higher concentrations of Cys and Cit after Leu infusion ( $P < 0.10$ ). This is in disagreement with Kröber et al. (2001), who did not find any significant effects of Leu supply on plasma levels of Lys, Ile, threonine (Thr), valine (Val), His, and phenylalanine (Phe) as the other essential AA except arginine, and the same was ascertained for non-essential AA with the exception of asparagine and Tyr. Huhtanen et al. (2002) found that the only effect of Leu infusion on plasma concentrations of other AA was a tendency of increased Phe levels and decreased Val levels. According to Harper et al. (1984) Leu can decrease plasma concentrations of Met and aromatic AA such as Phe. Although non-significant ( $P > 0.1$ ), decreased concentrations of Val, Met and Phe were also noted in our experiment. This discrepancy in response in plasma AA concentrations to Leu infusions is probably caused by the basal diet that seems to be the main factor in determining AA supply, as documented by various responses to Leu supplementation on grass silage or maize silage based diets (Schwab et al., 1992a,b; Vanhatalo et al., 1999; Varvikko et al., 1999; Rulquin and Pisulewski, 2006; Křížová et al., 2008). Further, according to Kröber et al. (2001) alterations in blood plasma levels of other AA would reflect interactions with the supplemented AA which, in the case of antagonism, could indicate the necessity of supplementing not only the primarily limiting AA but also others.

## CONCLUSION

Duodenal infusion of Leu had only a small positive effect on milk protein and casein content in milk ( $P < 0.1$ ). The absence of response to Leu infusion confirms the conclusions of previous studies that with the typical mixed diets the margin between the first-, second- and even third-limiting amino acid (AA) is very small, thus the responses in milk protein synthesis even to the first-limiting AA are generally relatively small.

## REFERENCES

- AOAC (1984): Official Methods of Analysis, Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 14<sup>th</sup> ed. Arlington, Virginia, USA, 1141.
- Brandt M., Südekum K.H., Schröder A. (1987): Zum Einfluss der im Dünndarm von Milchkühen verdauten Aminosäuren. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, 58, 10–11.
- Harper A.E., Miller R.H., Block K.P. (1984): Branched-chain amino acid metabolism. *Annual Review of Nutrition*, 4, 409–454.
- Huhtanen P., Vanhatalo A., Varvikko T. (2002): Effects of abomasal infusions of histidine, glucose, and leucine on milk production and plasma metabolites of dairy cows fed grass silage diets. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 85, 204–216.
- Iburg M., Lebzien P. (2000): Requirements of lactating dairy cows for leucine and methionine at the duodenum. *Livestock Production Science*, 62, 155–168.
- Korhonen M., Vanhatalo A., Huhtanen P. (2002): Evaluation of isoleucine, leucine, and valine as a second-limiting amino acid for milk production in dairy cows fed grass silage diet. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 85, 1533–1545.
- Kröber T.F., Sutter F., Senn M., Langhans W., Kreuzer M. (2001): Effects of supplying leucine and methionine to early-lactating cows fed silage-concentrate based diets with a calculated deficiency in leucine and methionine. *Animal Research*, 50, 5–20.
- Křížová L., Třináctý J., Richter M., Hadrová S., Pozdíšek J. (2008): Effect of ruminally-protected leucine supplement on milk yield and plasma amino acids in dairy cows. *Agricultural and Food Science*, 17, 351–359.
- Miettinen H., Huhtanen P. (1997): Effects of silage fermentation and postruminal casein supplementation in lactating dairy cows. 2. Energy metabolites and plasma amino acids. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 74, 450–458.
- Rulquin H., Pisulewski P.M. (2006): Effect of graded levels of duodenal infusions of leucine on mammary uptake and output in lactating dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Research*, 73, 328–339.
- Rulquin H., Vérité R., Guinard-Flament J. (2001a): Tables des valeurs AADI des aliments des ruminants. INRA Productions Animales, 14, 16 pp.
- Rulquin H., Verite R., Guinard-Flament J., Pisulewski P. M. (2001b): Acides aminés digestibles dans l'intestin. Origines des variations chez les ruminants et répercussions sur les protéins du lait. INRA Productions Animales, 14, 201–210.
- Schwab C.G., Bozak C.K., Whitehouse N.L. (1992a): Amino acid limitation and flow to duodenum at four

- stages of lactation. 1. Sequence of lysine and methionine limitation. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 75, 3486–3502.
- Schwab C.G., Bozak C.K., Whitehouse N.L., Olson V.M. (1992b): Amino acid limitation and flow to duodenum at four stages of lactation. 2. Extent of lysine and methionine limitation. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 75, 3503–3518.
- Sjaunja L.O., Baevre L., Junkkarinen L., Pedersen J., Setälä J. (1991): A Nordic proposal for an energy corrected milk (ECM) formula. Performance recording of animals: State of the art 1990. EAAP Publication, 50, 156–157.
- Sommer A. (1994): Nutrient requirement and tables of nutritional values of feedstuffs for ruminants. Research Institute of Animal Nutrition, Pohořelice, CR, 198 pp.
- Van Soest P.J., Robertson J.B., Lewis B.A. (1991): Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 74, 3583–3597.
- Vanhatalo A., Huhtanen P., Toivonen V., Varvikko T. (1999): Response of dairy cows fed grass silage diets to abomasal infusions of histidine alone or in combination with methionine and lysine. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 82, 2674–2685.
- Varvikko T., Vanhatalo A., Jalava T. (1999): Lactation and metabolic responses to graded abomasal doses of methionine and lysine in cows fed grass silage diets. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 82, 2659–2673.

Received: 2009–07–28

Accepted after corrections: 2010–01–27

---

*Corresponding Author*

Ing. Michal Richter, Agriresearch Rapotin Ltd., Department of Animal Nutrition and Quality of Livestock Products, Vídeňská 699, 691 23 Pohořelice, Czech Republic  
Tel. +420 519 426 001, fax +420 519 424 548, e-mail: richter@vuvz.cz

---