

Genetic Diversity and Admixture in Three Native Draught Horse Breeds Assessed Using Microsatellite Markers

HANA VOSTRÁ-VYDROVÁ¹, LUBOŠ VOSTRÝ², BARBORA HOFMANOVÁ²,
 NINA MORAVČÍKOVÁ³, ZDEŇKA VESELÁ¹, IRENA VRTKOVÁ⁴,
 ALEXANDRA NOVOTNÁ², RADOVAN KASARDA³

¹Institute of Animal Science, Prague-Uhříněves, Czech Republic

²Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Prague, Czech Republic

³Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, Nitra, Slovak Republic

⁴Mendel University in Brno, Brno, Czech Republic

Supplementary Online Material (SOM)

Table S1. Summary of parameters describing the state of diversity of each population at each locus. Number of successfully genotyped individuals (N), number of alleles (N_A), effective allele number (N_e), observed heterozygosity (H_O), gene diversity (H_e), Wright's F_{IS} index and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium statistics (* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$)

Populations	Microsatellite marker												
	AHT4	AHT5	ASB2	HMS1	HMS2	HMS3	HMS6	HMS7	HTG10	HTG4	HTG6	HTG7	VHL20
SN	N	348	348	345	348	347	323	349	342	337	348	349	345
	N_A	8	7	10	7	8	8	6	6	10	6	6	9
	H_O	0.78	0.79	0.78	0.61	0.73	0.69	0.67	0.73	0.64	0.66	0.43	0.70
	H_e	0.79	0.76	0.74	0.62	0.69	0.63	0.64	0.79	0.65	0.65	0.42	0.67
	F_{IS}	0.02	-0.04	-0.04	0.02	-0.06	-0.09	-0.04	0.08	0.02	-0.02	-0.01	-0.04
N	HWE												***
	N	396	391	395	392	391	381	396	390	383	396	395	395
	N_A	9	8	7	6	7	8	6	6	10	6	5	6
	H_O	0.83	0.78	0.80	0.65	0.71	0.75	0.72	0.73	0.68	0.63	0.58	0.66
	H_e	0.81	0.89	0.79	0.66	0.72	0.71	0.70	0.76	0.67	0.67	0.56	0.67
CMB	F_{IS}	-0.03	0.02	-0.01	0.00	0.02	-0.07	-0.02	0.03	-0.02	0.06	-0.04	0.01
	HWE	*					*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	N	553	547	550	552	551	526	551	546	543	551	551	540
	N_A	9	8	10	7	10	7	6	6	10	6	6	4
	H_O	0.82	0.77	0.62	0.41	0.66	0.72	0.73	0.67	0.78	0.74	0.38	0.74
	H_e	0.82	0.76	0.63	0.40	0.66	0.70	0.70	0.74	0.80	0.73	0.39	0.70
	F_{IS}	0.00	-0.02	0.02	-0.03	-0.01	-0.02	-0.06	0.10	0.03	-0.01	0.02	-0.04
	HWE		*		*		*		*	*		*	

SN = Silesian Noriker, N = Noriker, CMB = Czech-Belgian horse breed

Table S2. Statistics from Bayesian clustering implemented in the STRUCTURE programme (Pritchard et al. 2000) through all samples computed from 10 iterations

K	mean lnP(K)	SD lnP(K)	ΔK
1	-50 172.37	0.0483	NA
2	-48 154.82	2.4367	651.87
3	-47 725.66	3.8272	58.52
4	-47 520.48	22.3498	11.96
5	-47 047.81	5.4858	63.43
6	-46 923.14	8.6239	NA

mean lnP(K) and SD lnP(K) are means and standard deviations of the log-likelihood function for a different hypothetical number of genetic groups (K), ΔK is statistics computed by the Evanno et al. (2005) method, NA is not available data